Polish L. van der Zijden-Holstvoogd

1. Language description

Polish is a West Slavic language and belongs to the Slavic branch of the Indo-European language family. Jassem (2003) characterises Polish as a typical consonant language due to a rich consonant system and the possibility of heavy consonant clusters. A cluster consisting of four consonants at the beginning of a word is not exceptional.

Polish has many palatal consonants. These consonants sound softer than the equivalents that are realised more to the front of the mouth. Another distinctive feature of Polish is its large number of sibilants. The rich sibilant inventory makes it difficult for non-native speakers to separate these phonemes from each other.

Polish has a relatively large number of nasal sounds. The test only contains one word with such a sound, namely the word for truck /tçẽʒarufka/. The IPA symbol for a nasal sound is $/^{\sim}$ / above the vocal. The pronunciation of the $/\tilde{e}$ / can also be heard in the French word 'fin.'

On the Internet page (<u>http://www.poolsonline.nl/?pagina=1_les</u>), you can listen to short fragments of Polish. It is also possible to have words pronounced through Google Translate.

			Dorsal							
	Bilabial	Labiodental	Dental	Alveolar	Postalveolar	Palatal	Velar	Uvular	Pharyngeal	Glotta
plosives	рb			t d		(C j1) ¹	kg			
nasals	m			n		'n	(ŋ)²			
tril				r						
fricatives		fv		S Z	∫ 3	çj	х			h
affricate				ts dz ³	t∫ dʒ	tç dj				
liquids				I						
semi-						j	w			
vowels										

Consonant system

Table 1

¹These are allophones of the k and the g. ²just as in Dutch, does not occur as an independent phoneme. In Polish, it is a result of the nasal vowel that precedes it. ³The affricates form minimal pairs with the phoneme clusters.

Syllable structure

Polish is a morphologically rich language. Because of that, it contains relatively large number of long words with complex clusters of up to four consonants. These occur in the initial, medial, and final positions. Speakaboo only includes words with clusters of two consonants. These are tested in all positions. For example, in the word /xwopjɛts/ ((little) boy).

Note: The affricates are separate phonemes, not clusters. It is possible to form minimal pairs where affricate or cluster makes the difference in meaning.

Stress

Usually on the penultimate syllable.

2. Acquisition of consonants

Table 2

Association of Delich severe water association to prof	Malagerata Declauselia (University of Colored, 2014)
Acquisition of Polish consonants according to proj	^f . Małgorzata Rocławska (University of Gdansk, 2014)

Consonant	
p t m n j	
k	
s x h	
bfu	
l r	
d	
ſ	
	p t m n j k s x h b f u l r

In professor Małgorzata Rocławska's overview (table 2), it is not indicated whether there is a difference in acquisition order between consonants in initial or final position.

3. Common phonological processes

The processes discussed below come from the literature about phonological development in Polish (see sources). The examples come from the study among normally developing Polish toddlers in the Netherlands (Holstvoogd, 2015).

Gliding	rovɛr→wover, krova→kwova, balon→bajon
Lateralisatie	rovɛr→lovel, zɛgar→zɛgal, krova→klova
Fronting	ʃafa→safa, ʒaba→zaba, mɨʃ→mis, kçɛswo→ksɛswo, ʧapka→tsapka
Reductie cluster/	tsirk→tsik, xwopjɛts→xwopjɛs, klutʃ→klut
afffricaat	ʤεm→dεm/zεm/3εm (mogelijk interferentie vanuit het NL)
	t∫apka→tapka/sapka, kurtʃak→kurtak, tsirk→sirk/tirk, mlɛko→mɛko
Coalescence	swon→ von/fon

4. Lexical variation

In Polish child directed speech, many diminutives are used. This means the diminutives will likely be acquired earlier and used more often by the children.

Word	IPA								Lexical variation	
dom (house)	d	0	m							domɛk (cottage)
mysz (mouse)	m	ŧ	ſ							mijka (little mouse)
koń (horse)	k	0	'n							konik (little hourse)
tatuś (father)	t	α	t	u	Ç					tata (daddy)
ryba (fish)	r	ŧ	b	а						rɨpka (little fish)
krzesło (chair)	k	Ç	3	S	w	0				kçɛsɛwko (tiny chair)
lalka (doll)	I	а	Ι	k	а					lala (doll)
										lalki (little doll)
doktor (doctor)	d	0	k	t	0	r				pan (mister)
										lεka∫ (doctor)
małpa (ape)	m	а	w	р	а					mawpka (monkey)
pies (dog)	р	j	3	S						pjɛsɛk (doggy)
krowa (cow)	k	r	0	v	а					krufka (little cow)
szafa (closet)	l	а	f	а						∫afka (cabinet)
pudełko (box)	р	u	d	е	w	k	0			karton (box)
										pudwo (box)
kurczak	k	u	r	t∫	а	k				kura (chicken)
(chicken/chick)										
butelka (bottle)	b	u	t	3	Ι	k	а			butɛlki (little bottle)
chłopiec (boy)	х	w	0	р	j	3	ts			xwoptjɛk (little boy)
ciężarówka	tç	ẽ	3	а	r	u	f	k	а	aʊto (car)
(truck)										

Figure 1. lexical variation according to the interpreter

5. Results of typically developing Polish toddlers

In the spring of 2015, 16 Polish children between 30 and 48 months old were tested with the paper version of Speakaboo from Kentalis (Holstvoogd, 2015). The children attended a regular preschool and, to the knowledge of their teachers, had a normal (language) development. The average age of the children was 38.1 months.

The test / lotto game (paper version of Speakaboo at the time) was carried out by a Polish interpreter after instructions from the researcher. The children were to match the displayed image with the lotto sheet and then name the word. If the child did not spontaneously name the word, the word would be said for repetition. If the child also would not repeat the word, the researcher would continue to the next word.

All expressions of the children are scored on a score sheet. In total, the test contains 36 words with 96 consonants, if the clusters and affricates are counted as one consonant.

Note: This is different in the current version of the score sheets; the consonants of a cluster are counted separately. If for the cluster /xw/, the child only realises the /x/, then the /x/ counts for the number of correct consonants. This means the PCC may end up a little higher with the current version of the score sheet.

Because not all words could be assessed (not spoken or not comprehensible), it was not possible to assess 96 consonants for all children. This was taken into account in the calculation of the scores. The averages of the group are shown in Table 3.

Table 3		
Average scores of normally developing Polish childre	n	
Age	38.1 months	
Number of consonants incorrect	20	
Number of words not spontaneously named	11.4	
Number of consonants accessed	84.3	
Number of consonants correct	64 (84-20)	
Percentage Consonants Correct (PCC)	76.2 (64/84*100)	
Source: Holstvoogd (2015)		

Example of an average score

Girl, 42 months

Number incorrect	22
Words repeated:	5
Not assessable:	1 word (with 1 consonant)
Assessed:	96-1=95 consonants
Correct:	95-22=73 consonants
PCC	73/95*100=76.8

Note: with the word for doll, /lalka/, the girl says [lala]. This is a permissible variant, which is why leaving out the /k/ is not counted as incorrect. /lalka/ actually means 'little doll' and /lala/ means 'doll'.

- X: klank is verkeerd gerealiseerd
- Ø: klank is weggelaten
- NG: woord is nagezegd

Woord	IPA										Realisatie		
								-					
1.dom (huis)	d	С	m			-							
2.nóż (mes)	n	u	X			-					nus		
3.mysz (muis)	m	i	X					-			mis		
4.koń (paard)	k	С	ŋ										
5.kot (poes)	k	С	t										
6.banan (banaan)	b	a	n	a	n								
7.tatuś (vader)	t	a	t	u	Ç					V			
8.pająk (spin)	р	a	j	С	ŋ	k				V			
9.lew (leeuw)	1	3	f										
10.guziki (knopen)	g	u	j	i	k	i							
11.ucho (oor)		u	x	0							ntb		
12.ryba (vis)	X	i	b	a							Liba		
13.krzesło (stoel)	k	×	3	s	w	0					KSESWO		
14.balon (ballon)	b	a	1	С	n								
15.lalka (popje)	1	a	1	D	a			1			Lala		
16.doktor (dokter)	d	С	k	t	С	r							
17.małpa (aap)	m	a	w	p	a					V			
18.pies (hond)	р	j	3	s		-							
19.rower (fiets)	X	0	v	3	X		1		1		LOVEL		
20.krowa (koe)	k	X	0	v	a				1				
21.zegar (klok)	Z	3	g	α	X	-	1				klova ZEgal		
22.słoń (olifant)	S	w	2	ŋ	1		1	1					
23.czapka (pet)	XÍ	a	p	k	a		1	1			sapka		
24.dżem (jam)	XIS	3	m				1				SEM		
25.żaba (kikker)	X	a	b	a		1					saba		
26.stopa (voet)	S	t	0	p	а		1			1			
27.szafa (kast)	X	a	f	a	- /	-					safa		
28.mleko (melk)	m	F	ε.	k	0			1			MEKO		
29.pudełko (doos)	p	u	d	8	w	k	0			V			
30.klucz (sleutel)	k	1	u	X		K					KLUS		
31.cyrk (circus)	X	i	r	k							sirk		
32.kurczak (kip)	k	u	P	X	a	k					kusak		
33.piżama (pyjama)	p	i	X	a	m	a					pisama		
34.butelka (fles)	b	u	t	a 8	1	k	а			-	pisana		
35.chłopiec	x	w	0	-	j	ε	d Xs			1	XWOPJES		
(jongen)	~	VV	0	p	1	5	100				X WOPJCS		
36.ciężarówka	1×	Ĩ	X	a	r	u	f	k	a	V	SENSArufka		
(vrachtwagen)	X	A	X				1			V	Junjanana		
Totaal aantal cons	onant	en fo	ut		1	1	1		1	1	A. 21		
Totaal aantal cons	onant	en ge	prod			rden					B. 106-1=105		
106-aantal consonanten van niet geproduceerde woorden (B-A) / B * 100											PCC 79,05		

Speakaboo 9.0 - scoreformulier Pools

Figure 2: Example score sheet (consonants in clusters are counted as individual consonants).

6. Sources

Literature

- Holstvoogd, E. (2015) Beoordeling van de spraakontwikkeling van Poolse Peuters door een nietmoedertaalspreker met behulp van de Meertalige Articulatielotto. Master Thesis, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam.
- Łukaszewicz, B. (2007). Reduction in syllable onsets in the acquisition of Polish: deletion, coalescence, metathesis and germination. *Journal of Child Language*, *34*, p. 53-82.
- Rocławska-Daniluk, M. (2008). *Czytanie i pisanie. Metoyka zajęć korekcyjno-wyrównawczych*. Katedra Logopedii Uniwersytetu Gdańskiego, p.117, updated 2014.
- Szreder, M. (2011). Acquisition of consonant clusters in Polish, a case study. York Papers in Linguistics Series 2, p.88-102.
- Yavas, M. (2013). Acquisition of #sC clusters: universal grammar vs. language-specific grammar, Letras de Hoje, Porto Alegre, v. 48, n. 3, p. 355-361.
- Zydorowicz, P. (2010). Consonant clusters across morpheme boundaries: Polish Morphonotactic inventory and its acquisition, *Contemporary Linguistics* 46(4), p. 565-588.

Other sources

http://meertaligheidentaalstoornissenvu.wikispaces.com/ Teunissen, M. interpreter/translator Dutch-Polish

© Kentalis, 23-11-20, Sint Michielsgestel